

CLAIMS

The following is a complete listing of the claims.

1. (previously presented) A method of operating a first security module in a computer, the method comprising the acts of:
detecting a second security module in the computer;
determining whether a key associated with the second security module is stored at the first security module; and
obtaining the key associated with the second security module if the key associated with the second security module is not stored at the first security module.
2. (previously presented) The method set forth in claim 1, wherein the first security module is a trusted platform module (“TPM”).
3. (original) The method set forth in claim 1, comprising the act of requesting the key from the second security module.
4. (previously presented) The method set forth in claim 1, comprising the act of sending a public key from the first security module to the second security module if the key associated with the second security module is not stored at the first security module.

5. (previously presented) The method set forth in claim 1, comprising the act of sending a public key along with validation information from the first security module to the second security module if the key associated with the second security module is not stored at the first security module.

6. (original) The method set forth in claim 1, comprising the act of storing the key in a memory associated with the first security module.

7. (previously presented) The method set forth in claim 1, wherein the key is a private key.

8. (previously presented) A security module in a computer, comprising:
a detector that is adapted to detect another security module in the computer and determine whether one of a plurality of keys stored at the security module is associated with the other security module; and
a device that obtains at least one key associated with the other security module if the one of the plurality of keys stored at the security module is not associated with the other security module.

9. (original) The security module set forth in claim 8, wherein the security module comprises a trusted platform module (“TPM”).

10. (original) The security module set forth in claim 8, wherein the security module is adapted to request the at least one key from the other security module.

11. (previously amended) The security module set forth in claim 8, wherein the security module is adapted to send a public key to the other security module if the at least one key is not stored at the security module.

12. (previously presented) The security module set forth in claim 8, wherein the security module is adapted to send a public key along with validation information to the other security module if the at least one key is not stored at the security module.

13. (original) The security module set forth in claim 8, wherein the at least one key is a private key.

14. (previously presented) A security module in a computer, comprising:
means for detecting another security module in the computer;
means for determining whether a key associated with the other security module is stored at the security module; and
means for obtaining the key associated with the other security module if the key associated with the other security module is not stored at the security module.

15. (original) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the security module comprises a trusted platform module (“TPM”).

16. (original) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the security module is adapted to request the key from the other security module.

17. (previously presented) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the security module is adapted to send a public key to the other security module if the key associated with the other security module is not stored at the security module.

18. (previously presented) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the security module is adapted to send a public key along with validation information to the other security module if the key associated with the other security module is not stored at the security module.

19. (original) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the security module is adapted to store the key in a memory associated with the security module.

20. (original) The security module set forth in claim 14, wherein the key comprises a private key.

21. (previously presented) A computer comprising:

a processor configured to execute program instructions;
a storage device configured to store program instructions to be delivered to the processor;
a first security module; and
a second security module, the first security module comprising:
a detector adapted to detect a the second security module and determine whether
one of a plurality of keys stored at the first security module is associated
with the second security module, wherein the first security module obtains
at least one key associated with the second security module if one of the
plurality of keys stored at the first security module is not associated with
the second security module.

22. (previously presented) The computer set forth in claim 21, wherein the first
security module comprises a trusted platform module (“TPM”).

23. (previously presented) The computer set forth in claim 21, wherein the first
security module is adapted to request the at least one key from the second security module.

24. (presently presented) The computer set forth in claim 21, wherein the first
security module is adapted to send a public key to the second security module if the at least one
key is not stored at the first security module.

25. (previously presented) The computer set forth in claim 21, wherein the first security module is adapted to send a public key along with validation information to the second security module if the at least one key is not stored at the first security module.

26. (previously presented) The computer set forth in claim 21, wherein the at least one key is a private key.

27. (previously presented) A method of unsealing information from a plurality of security modules, the method comprising the acts of:

detaching an identifier from sealed information for one of the plurality of security modules;

decrypting the sealed information with a key that is associated with another of the plurality of security modules;

calculating a hash of the decrypted sealed information; and

comparing the calculated hash to the identifier to determine if the key was used to encrypt the sealed information;

returning a decrypt key found message if the key is the key used to encrypt the sealed information or returning a decrypt key not found message if the key is not the key used to encrypt the sealed information.

28. (original) The method set forth in claim 27, wherein the plurality of security modules comprise trusted platform modules (“TPMs”).

29-30. (canceled)

31. (previously presented) A computer network, comprising:

a plurality of computers;

a network infrastructure that connects the plurality of computers together;

at least one of the plurality of computers comprising:

 a first security module; and

 a second security module, the first security module comprising:

 a detector adapted to detect the second security module and determine whether a key associated with the second security module is stored at the first security module, wherein the first security module obtains the key associated with the second security module if the key associated with the second security module is not stored at the first security module.

32. (previously presented) The computer network, as set forth in claim 31, wherein the first security module comprises a trusted platform module (“TPM”).

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

In a telephonic interview on October 23, 2006, the Examiner agreed to consider arguments presented in a Response to Final Office Action showing support in the specification for interpretation of the term “computer” as a single computer system, as opposed to a networked computer system. Additionally, the Examiner agreed that resolution of the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection in favor of the Applicants also resolves the 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection in favor of Applicants as the art of record does not show the use of multiple security modules in a single computer system. Furthermore, Applicants emphasized a willingness to amend the claim terminology to more clearly set forth certain aspects and speed the application toward allowance.